UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,788	07/13/2006	Rick M. Salisbury	)1714-21855.PROV.PCT.US	3084
	7590 09/12/200 TH & WESTERN, LL		EXAM	IINER
P.O. Box 1219		PATEL, KIRAN B		
SANDY, UT 84	<del>1</del> 091-1219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/563,788	SALISBURY, RICK M.			
Oπice Action St	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kiran B. Patel	3612			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period v ed period for reply will, by statute, nan three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE MONATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicati O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on	,				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is	<del>-</del>					
closed in accordance w	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are p	ending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(	s) is/are withdrav	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are a						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are r	ejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.					
8) Claim(s) are sub	eject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
<u> </u>	•	aminer. Note the attached Office		. ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is mad	de of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)  All b)  Some * c)[		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-) (-)			
<i>'</i> — <i>'</i> — <i>'</i> -	— of the priority document	s have been received.				
	<u> </u>					
3. Copies of the cei						
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8	392)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsper	awing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	акенк Аррисацон			

Art Unit: 3612

## DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection (9/11/08)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-3, 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Roethel (6.231.104)</u> and <u>Mallett (4.655.497)</u> and further in view of the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art,

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-13, Roethel (6,231,104) discloses the invention as claimed to include a fairing frame member 125 at least partially defining a shape for the fairing 10; multiple coupling partions 130, 120 positioned on the fairing frame member and configured to removably couple the frame member to the front portion of the motorcycle; and at least one flexible fairing cover 10 portion configured for attachment to and at least partially covering the fairing frame member 125; wherein said fairing frame member 125 and flexible fairing cover are detachable from the front portion of the motorcycle and operable to be reconfigured into a smaller configuration for storage Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member 125 includes multiple support members 130, 120 operable to be removably coupled to each other to form the fairing frame member Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member and at least one flexible cover includes a modular configuration that facilitates quick assembly/disassembly into smaller components Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover 10 is formed of a material selected from a group consisting of canvas, vinyl, polymeric blends, leather, and synthetic leather Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover may removably couple to the fairing frame member using an attachment means selected from a list consisting of snaps, releasable loops, and straps Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover has an opening configured to fit around a headlight 20 on the front of the motorcycle Fig 1-3; wherein the opening is lined with an elastic material to fit

Deleted: 1-13

**Deleted:** Droper (4,457,555)

Deleted: and Watari (4,146,263).

Deleted: 1-13

**Deleted:** Droper (4,457,555)

Deleted: in Fig. 1-14

Art Unit: 3612

various shapes and sizes of headlights Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing is configured to attach to the front portion of a windshield Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member is formed with a durable and rigid skeletal member Fig 1-3.

However, Roethel (6,231,104) does not disclose the fairing frame member comprising multiple removably interconnecting support members to include a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing; and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/ mating arrangement.

Mallett (4,655,497) discloses the fairing frame member comprising multiple removably interconnecting support members to include a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing: and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/mating arrangement Fig 1-5.

It is within the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art to provide fasteners usable without tools.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by <u>Roethel</u> (6,231,104), to include the fairing frame member comprising multiple removably interconnecting support members to include a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing; and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/mating arrangement, as disclosed by Mallett (4,655,497), and to incorporate fasteners usable without tools, to minimize the weight and minimize the folded size for easy and convenient storage.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to elected claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Deleted: a support frame 46, a covering panel 10, a plurality of structural profiled elongated elements 48, 50, 52 with transverse walls and end sections with parallel/right angle tabs. folding lines Fig. 8, 9

Page 3

Deleted: Droper (4,457,555)

Deleted: means of folding, means of pressing, and a slot. I

Deleted: means for folding and means for pressing.

Deleted: Watari (4,146,263) discloses in Fig 9 a slot near 19d.9

**Deleted:** Droper (4,457,555)

Deleted: means of folding, means of pressing, and a slot

Deleted: Watari (4,146,263)

Deleted: the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art

Deleted: cost effectively manufacture the structural parts and their respective assemblies.  $\P$ 

Deleted: Response to Arguments 9 <#>Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. 9In response to applicant's argument that the references fall to show, teach or suggest certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., providing structure for closing an opening through a truck cab after removal of the rear window and supporting frame thereof;) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).4

Deleted: <#>THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

... [1]

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Art Unit: 3612

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 to 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

/Kiran B. Patel/

Kiran B. Patel P.E. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0 pt, First line: 0 pt

Deleted: 🛭

0